#### DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES

744 P Street, Sacramento, CA 95814 -- (916) 322-9377

February 4, 1988

ALL-COUNTY LETTER NO. 88-17

TO: ALL COUNTY WELFARE DIRECTORS

SUBJECT: RECORDS RETENTION

This All-County Letter is a reminder of the legal requirements for records retention and the identification of certain records which require extended retention periods. Counties should note that the State Department of Social Services (DSS) anticipates soon recovering the county share of disallowed federal funds in the old Food Stamp Program audits which have been footnoted in Attachment II.

#### A. Public Assistance Records

The Manual of Policies and Procedures (MPP) sets forth various retention periods for public assistance records. Generally, the regulations require that all public assistance (23-353), social service (10-119.2), and administrative claiming (25-815.38) records and their supporting documents be retained for three years from the date the state submits the last expenditure report to the Department of Health and Human Services (HHS). Case record material must be retained for three years after the date the last state expenditure report has been made to HHS for the period the records were last used to document eligibility. MPP Sections 23-353 through 23-356 set forth the requirements for certain records which have retention periods which vary from the general rule. While the regulations must be reviewed for a complete listing, the most common occurrences are listed below. Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

1. Records and their supporting documents must be retained when there is an open federal or state audit. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports listed on Attachment I, those unresolved federal audits listed on Attachment II, and the State Controller's Office audits listed on Attachment IV. Counties are to inform contractors providing social services to retain all necessary records for audits which have not been resolved/closed.



- 2. Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment V lists court cases involving DSS which require extended retention of certain records.
- 3. The Form ABCD 278L, List of Authorizations to Start, Change, or Stop Aid (or its equivalent), which bears the original initials or the original signature of the delegated county employee who authorized the specific action is identified as one of the records and supporting documents which must be retained in accordance with the retention period for the case record material.
- 4. The county shall retain Form ABCD 278L or its equivalent for a period of 10 years following closure in all cases where notification to do so by the child support agency has been received.
- 5. County welfare warrants must be retained for five years. Warrant registers must be retained for 15 years.

Other records may be considered as nonessential and need not be retained in the case records. Listed below are examples of those documents which may be purged from the case records:

- Documents or evidence (photocopies) provided by the recipient such as birth certificates and divorce papers may be purged (MPP Section 48-001.112) provided that there is a written record of the type of evidence and its pertinent content. This notation would normally be made in the case narrative. Original documents received should have been returned to the applicant/recipient.
- 2. Documents which were never used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided they have no potential of being used to take action on a case. For example, a note from an applicant canceling a meeting may be needed as evidence, should you determine a denial is appropriate based on noncooperation. However, once the meeting has taken place the note would be of no value and may be destroyed.
- 3. Records which were used to document eligibility may be destroyed provided three years have passed since the last state expenditure report for that period has been submitted to the HHS. These records must be retained longer when there are unresolved audits of court cases.

Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

#### B. Food Stamp Records

There are two separate retention requirements for Food Stamp Program records. First, all <u>issuance</u> and <u>program</u> records are to be retained for

a period of three years from the month of origin. Second, all fiscal and accountable documents are to be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. This means that records such as, but not limited to Authorizations to Participate (ATP), cashier's daily reports, Notices of Change, Form FNS-250s (Food Stamp Accountability Report), HIR cards, and tally sheets shall be retained for three years. However, any documents or records which are involved in any billing or claim shall be retained for three years from the date of fiscal or administrative closure. For example, FNS-250s which do not result in a billing against the state agency shall be retained three years from the month of origin. But, FNS-250s which result in a billing must be retained for three years from the date that obligations for or against the federal government have been liquidated. Also, any records or documents which are involved in a fiscal audit or investigation must be retained for three years from the date the audit or investigation is closed. To illustrate this point further, if an FNS-250 which originated prior to April 1981 had fiscal liabilities which were not settled until July 1983, that FNS-250 cannot be destroyed until August 1986. But, on the other hand, if the same FNS-250 had no fiscal involvement, it could be destroyed as of May 1984. Attachment III lists closed audit records which may be flagged for destruction.

Some records require retention periods of more than three years. These include:

- 1. Food Stamp records that are a part of an assistance case record must be retained in accordance with MPP Chapter 23-350. (See Part A of this letter.)
- 2. Records and their supporting documents for which there is an open federal or state audit must be retained. This includes those federal audits in progress and pending issuance of final reports and the unresolved audits listed on Attachments I, II, and IV.
- 3. Records involved in pending criminal or civil litigation or court orders may require extended retention periods. In addition to cases in which the county is involved, Attachment V lists court cases involving DSS which require extended retention of certain records.

The provisions of this letter are for the fiscal purposes of DSS and do not authorize the destruction of those records which have a longer retention period required by other laws/regulations, court cases, or unresolved audits.

Again we would appreciate any comments or questions regarding records retention by submitting them to Barbara Sakamoto, Records Management, 744 P Street, M.S. 7-179, Sacramento, CA 95814, or by calling (916) 445-6239.

ROBERT GARCIA Deputy Director

Administration Division

Attachments

cc: CWDA

This letter supersedes All-County Letter 87-107.

#### FEDERAL AUDITS PENDING RELEASE OF FINAL AUDIT REPORTS

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-16-87

Page 1 of 1

| ID<br>Number                               | Description   | Audit/Review · Period | Status* | State/County<br>Agencies<br>Affected                                      | Records<br>Required to<br>Be Retained |
|--|---|-----------------------|---------|---|---------------------------------------|
| CA-86-PR                                   | Child Support<br>Enforcement<br>Program Review                                  | 10/85 - 9/86          | a       | Lake Los Angeles San Diego Santa Clara Stanislaus Ventura                 | A                                     |
| CA-IV-E for<br>FFY 85 and<br>FFY 86        | Federal Foster<br>Care Program<br>Title IV-E<br>Review                          | 10/84 - 9/86          | ъ       | 36 Counties (1)   | * А                                   |
| CA-IV-E<br>AAP for<br>FFY 85 and<br>FFY 86 | Federal Adoption Assistance Program Title IV-E Review                           | 10/84 - 9/86          | a       | Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco Santa Clara | В                                     |
|  | Administrative Cost Review of the California State Department of Social Service |                       | ъ       | Alameda   | С                                     |

<sup>(1)</sup> See Attachment IA.

A Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, payment records, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

a Field work/report writing in process.

b Draft report issued.

<sup>\*</sup> New since ACL 87-107.

# COUNTIES WITH CASES IN THE FEDERAL FOSTER CARE PROGRAM TITLE IV-E REVIEW FOR FFY 85 AND FFY 86

Alameda

Butte

Contra Costa

El Dorado

Fresno

Humboldt

Imperial

Kern

Kings

Los Angeles

Madera

Marin

Mendocino

Monterey

**Orange** 

Placer

Riverside

Sacramento

San Bernardino

San Diego

San Francisco

San Joaquin

San Luis Obispo

San Mateo

Santa Barbara

Santa Clara

Siskiyou

Solano

Sonoma

Stanislaus

Sutter

Tehama

Tulare

Ventura

Yolo

Yuba

<sup>\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

#### Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-16-87Page 1 of 5

| ID<br>Number |   | Audit/Review<br>Period (1) | Audit<br>Agency | State/County<br>Agencies<br>Affected  | Exception<br>Amount (2) | Records<br>Required to<br>Be Retained |
|--------------|---|----------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 20272        | WIN   | 10/1/78 -<br>3/31/81       | ннѕ             | Alameda<br>Contra Costa<br>Los Angeles<br>Sacramento<br>San Bernardino<br>San Francisco | \$ 581,382              | A                                     |
| 60265        | Cuban<br>Refugee<br>Assistance<br>Costs                       | 7/70 -<br>6/74             | HEW<br>(HHS)    | Los Angeles   | \$ 1,850,588            | В                                     |
| 62641        | Foster Care Payments to Profit- Making Child Care Institution | 6/86                       | HHS             | Alameda<br>Los Angeles  | \$ 1,018,966            | В                                     |
| 50260-09     | Refugee<br>Resettle-<br>ment<br>Program                       | 4/1/81 <b>-</b><br>9/30/82 | ннѕ             | San Francisco Los Angeles San Diego Sacramento Orange Alameda Santa Clara               | \$33,753,588            | A/B                                   |
| 62612-09     | * Refugee<br>Resettle-<br>ment<br>Program                     | 10/1/82 -<br>12/31/84      | ннѕ             | Los Angeles   | \$ 3,105,483            | A/B                                   |
| 62614-09     | * Refugee<br>Resettle-<br>ment<br>Program                     | 10/1/82 -<br>12/31/84      | ннѕ             | Orange  | \$ 717,938              | A/B                                   |

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

A Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

B Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

<sup>\*</sup> New since ACL 87-107.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-16-87

Page 2 of 5

|                          |   |                            |        | State/County  |                           | Records     |
|--------------------------|---|----------------------------|--------|---|---------------------------|-------------|
| ID                       |   | Audit/Review               | Audit  | Agencies  | Exception                 | Required to |
| Number                   | Description   | Period (1)                 | Agency | Affected  | Amount (2)                | Be Retained |
| CA-IV-E<br>for<br>FFY 84 | Federal<br>Foster Care<br>Program<br>Title IV-E<br>Review | 10/83 -<br>9/85            | ннѕ    | Alameda Los Angeles Orange Sacramento San Diego San Francisco | \$7,285,416<br>(Estimate) | В           |
| 50267                    | Food Stamp<br>Program<br>Costs                            | 4/1/69 -<br>6/30/74        | HHS    | San Bernardino  | \$ 414,455                | С           |
| 50268                    | Included in<br>Cost Allo-<br>cation Plan                  | 6/30/74                    | HHS    | San Diego   | \$ 566,178                | С           |
| 50271                    | Disallowed<br>by HHS                                      | 7/1/68 <b>-</b><br>6/30/74 | ннѕ    | San Joaquin   | \$ 237,322                | С           |
| 20144                    | "   | 7/1/67 -<br>12/31/70       | ннѕ    | 38 Counties(3)  | \$3,279,707               | С           |
| 50250                    | ••  | 1/1/71 -<br>3/31/74        | HHS    | San Mateo   | \$ 52,400                 | С           |
| 50266                    | er ,  | 1/1/67 <b>-</b><br>6/30/74 | HHS    | Los Angeles   | \$1,773,081               | С           |
| 50262                    | .,  | 7/1/67 <b>-</b><br>6/30/74 | HHS    | Kern  | \$ 179,484                | С           |
| 50263                    | BY  | 7/1/67 -<br>6/30/74        | ннѕ    | Tulare  | \$ 137,556                | С           |
| 50264                    | r<br>Pf   | 1/1/71 -<br>9/30/71        | ннѕ    | 31 Counties(4)  | \$1,428,838               | С           |
| 60274<br>(50274)         | u   | 1/1/67 -<br>6/30/74        | ннѕ    | San Francisco   | \$ 216,900                | C           |

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(3)</sup> See Attachment IIA.

<sup>(4)</sup> See Attachment IIB.

B Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

# Programs Administered by Department of Health and Human Services

Status as of: 12-16-87Page 3 of 5

| ID<br>Number | Description                            | Audit/Review<br>Period (1) | Audit<br>Agency | State/County<br>Agencies<br>Affected | Exception<br>Amount (2) | Records<br>Required to<br>Be Retained |
|--------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| 10273        | Food Stamp<br>Certifica-<br>tion Costs | 10/1/71 -<br>6/30/72       | ннѕ             | 34 Counties(5)                       | \$4,290,534             | С                                     |

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(5)</sup> See Attachment IIC.

C Administrative claims and audit-related materials.

# Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of:

12-16-87

Page 4 of 5

|                        |  |                        |          | State/County  |    |           | Records     |
|------------------------|--|------------------------|----------|---------------|----|-----------|-------------|
| ID                     |  | Audit/Review           | Audit    | Agencies      |    | ception   | Required to |
| Number                 | Description                                | Period (1)             | Agency   | Affected      | Am | ount (2)  | Be Retained |
| 2714-<br>260-SF<br>(6) | Food Stamp<br>Audit<br>Cash and<br>Coupons | 11/72 -<br>3/74        | USDA/OA  | Los Angeles   | \$ | 93,451.0  | 0 р         |
| 2744-<br>104(6)        | Food Stamp<br>Investigation                | 10/19/77<br>on         | USDA/OIG | Madera        | \$ | 37,607.0  | 0 D         |
| 2714-<br>53-SF(6)      | Food Stamp<br>Audit<br>Issuance Los        | 7/70 -<br>4/71<br>sses | USDA/OIG | San Francisco | \$ | 3,761.0   | О О         |
| 2714-<br>358-SF        | Food Stamp<br>Audit<br>Eligibility         | 4/75 <b>-</b><br>6/75  | USDA/OA  | San Francisco | \$ | 806,800.0 | O E         |
| 2744-<br>61-SF(6)      | Food Stamp<br>Investigation                | 1/23/76<br>on          | USDA/OA  | San Francisco | \$ | 5,344.0   | О Е         |
| 2747-<br>8-SF(6)       | Food Stamp<br>Investigatio                 | 8/30/76<br>on          | USDA/OIG | San Francisco | \$ | 1,264.5   | O D         |
| 2749-<br>19-SF(6)      | Food Stamp<br>Investigation                | 12/24/74<br>on         | USDA/OIG | San Francisco | \$ | 1,923.0   | g O         |
| 2714-<br>59-SF(6)      | Food Stamp<br>Unreconciled<br>Records      | 8/17/81<br>d           | USDA/OIG | Santa Clara   | \$ | 52,768.0  | О В         |

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

<sup>(2)</sup> County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(5)</sup> See Attachment IIC.

<sup>(6)</sup> Food Stamp Program audit which the DSS anticipates applying soon.

D Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

E Retain Food Stamp fiscal records.

Food Stamp Program USDA/FNS

Status as of: 12-16-87

Page 5 of 5

|                   |                             |                            |                 | State/County         |                     | Records                    |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|----------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| ID<br>Number      | Description                 | Audit/Review<br>Period (1) | Audit<br>Agency | Agencies<br>Affected | ception<br>ount (2) | Required to<br>Be Retained |
| 2744-<br>98-SF(6) | Food Stamp<br>Investigati   | 7/22/76<br>.on             | USDA/OA         | Santa Clara          | \$<br>999.95        | E                          |
| 2744-<br>103-SF(6 | Food Stamp<br>) Investigati | 6/23/77                    | USDA/OIG        | Santa Clara          | \$<br>1,394.00      | Ō                          |

<sup>(1)</sup> If a single date is listed, it will be the date of the audit report.

County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit (2) report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

<sup>(6)</sup> Food Stamp Program audit which the DSS anticipates applying soon.

D Retain Food Stamp Reports, FNS-250s.

Retain Food Stamp fiscal records.

# AUDIT REPORT #20144 (7/1/67 - 12/31/70) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

| County          |     | eral Funds<br>estioned |
|-----------------|-----|------------------------|
| Alameda         | \$  | 272,721                |
| Amador          | ·   | 776                    |
| Butte           |     | 33,294                 |
| Calaveras       |     | 1,059                  |
| Contra Costa    |     | 157,837                |
| El Dorado       |     | 16,189                 |
| Fresno          |     | 139,105                |
| Glenn           |     | 1,368                  |
| Humboldt        |     | 925                    |
| Kern            |     | 164,468                |
| Kings           |     | 22,131                 |
| Lake            |     | 7,946                  |
| Los Angeles     |     | 995,435                |
| Madera          |     | 28,212                 |
| Marin           |     | 17,941                 |
| Merced          |     | 23,693                 |
| Monterey        |     | 42,278                 |
| Napa            |     | 8,192                  |
| Orange          |     | 89,040                 |
| Plumas          |     | 2,784                  |
| Riverside       |     | 13,929                 |
| Sacramento      |     | 180,955                |
| San Benito      |     | 3,182                  |
| San Bernardino  |     | 138,978                |
| San Francisco   |     | 105,824                |
| San Joaquin     |     | 106,506                |
| San Luis Obispo |     | 13,630                 |
| San Mateo       |     | 38,867                 |
| Santa Barbara   |     | 38,450                 |
| Santa Clara     |     | 291,439                |
| Santa Cruz      |     | 49,413                 |
| Sonoma          |     | 43,889                 |
| Stanislaus      |     | 86,769                 |
| Tehama          |     | 5,529                  |
| Tulare          |     | 48,941                 |
| Tuolumne        |     | 8,644                  |
| Ventura         |     | 66,209                 |
| Yolo            |     | 13,159                 |
| TOTAL           | \$3 | ,279,707               |

# AUDIT REPORT #50264 (1/1/71 - 9/30/71) Original exception amounts shown. In most cases, final amounts will be substantially smaller.

| County          | Federal Funds<br>Questioned |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
|                 |                             |
| Alameda         | \$ 244,351                  |
| Amador          | 951                         |
| Butte           | 14,950                      |
| Calaveras       | 1,814                       |
| Contra Costa    | 75,271                      |
| El Dorado       | 3,406                       |
| Fresno          | 138,238                     |
| Glenn           | 1,622                       |
| Humbo ldt       | 776                         |
| Kings           | 31,437                      |
| Lake            | 8,216                       |
| Madera          | 17,264                      |
| Marin           | 29,855                      |
| Merced          | 45,688                      |
| Monterey        | 37,248                      |
| Napa            | 6,538                       |
| Orange          | 123,182                     |
| Placer          | 777                         |
| Plumas          | 2,515                       |
| Sacramento      | 174,891                     |
| San Benito      | 4,975                       |
| San Luis Obispo | 14,202                      |
| Santa Barbara   | 35,001                      |
| Santa Clara     | 245,885                     |
| Santa Cruz      | 26,774                      |
| Siskiyou        | 824                         |
| Sonoma          | 71                          |
| Stanislaus      | 58,320                      |
| Tehama          | 2,269                       |
| Tuolumne        | 4,410                       |
| Ventura         | 77,117                      |
| TOTAL           | \$1,428,838                 |

## AUDIT REPORT #10273 (10/1/71 - 6/30/72)

| County          | Federal Funds<br>Questioned |
|-----------------|-----------------------------|
| Alameda         | \$ 224,577                  |
| Calaveras       | 265                         |
| Contra Costa    | 105,124                     |
| Del Norte       | 3,853                       |
| Fresno          | 81,951                      |
| Humboldt        | 23,999                      |
| Imperial        | 14,328                      |
| Lassen          | 2,163                       |
| Los Angeles     | 2,762,983                   |
| Madera          | 8,308                       |
| Marin           | 29,446                      |
| Modoc           | 394                         |
| Mono            | 139                         |
| Monterey        | 36,784                      |
| Nevada          | 6,574                       |
| Orange          | 100,158                     |
| Placer          | 25,544                      |
| Riverside       | 91,787                      |
| Sacramento      | 186,752                     |
| San Benito      | 4,660                       |
| San Francisco   | 175,369                     |
| San Luis Obispo | 30,511                      |
| San Mateo       | 37,359                      |
| Santa Barbara   | 61,277                      |
| Santa Clara     | 160,168                     |
| Shasta          | 14,988                      |
| Siskiyou        | 6,410                       |
| Solano          | 22,449                      |
| Sonoma          | 24,361                      |
| Stanislaus      | 21,806                      |
| Tehana          | 2,228                       |
| Trinity         | 1,258                       |
| Yolo            | 15,123                      |
| Yuba            | 7,438                       |
| TOTAL           | \$4,290,534                 |

#### CLOSED FEDERAL AUDITS

#### Public Assistance Records

Status as of: 12-16-87

Page 1 of 1

| ID<br>Number     | Description  | Audit/<br>Review<br>Period | Audit<br>Agency | State/County<br>Agencies<br>Affected                                | Exception<br>Amount (1) | Records<br>Required to<br>Be Retained | Record<br>Destruction<br>Date** |
|------------------|--|----------------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| 70282            | BHI -<br>Eligibility   | 7/73 -<br>3/76             | HEW<br>(HHS)    | San Bernardino  | \$ 68,274               | Α                                     | 9/1/89                          |
| 80259            | BHI -<br>Eligibility   | 4/1/75 -<br>7/31/76        | HEW<br>(HHS)    | Santa Barbara   | \$ 77,911               | A                                     | 9/1/89                          |
| 70281<br>(60281) | BHI -<br>Eligibility   | 4/1/75 -<br>7/31/76        | HEW<br>(HHS)    | Ventura   | \$ 77,051               | A                                     | 9/1/89                          |
| 10262            | Public<br>Assistance<br>Adm. Costs<br>(Resolution<br>of SCO Audit) | 7/1/75 -<br>12/3/78        | нпѕ             | Los Angeles<br>San Diego<br>Santa Clara<br>Fresno<br>San Bernardino | \$2,278,142             | A/B                                   | 12/1/90                         |

<sup>(1)</sup> County and state total of disallowed federal funds identified in the final audit report; county/state share and grand total may change as a result of appeal(s).

Case records, assistance claims, and audit-related materials. Α

Case records, payment records, and audit-related materials.

New since ACL 87-107. 大

<sup>\*\*</sup> These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

Amount

of Report

2,527,181

48,582,432

600,140

259,223

#### STATE CONTROLLER'S OFFICE (SCO) AUDIES

#### Unresolved Audits

The counties on the following lists have unresolved SCO audits. All records pertaining to these audit periods should be retained by the counties until final resolution has been made pertinent to all protested/appealed audit exceptions. The audits are listed below in alphabetic order by county. For those counties having more than one unresolved audit, there will be an entry for each audit.

#### Audits Completed\*

Date of

Audit Report

| Orange**   | 7/83 - 6/85     | 7/24/87                 | \$ 8,047,314        |
|------------|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
|            |                 | Protested Audits        |                     |
| County     | Audit<br>Period | Date of<br>Audit Report | Amount<br>of Report |
| Butte**    | 7/82 - 6/86     | 8/21/87                 | \$ 1,651,586        |
| Calaveras  | 7/80 - 6/85     | 10/17/86                | 23,029              |
| Fresno**   | 7/82 - 6/85     | 7/31/87                 | 5,617,720           |
| Glenn**    | 7/81 - 6/85     | 6/26/87                 | 85,474              |
| Humboldt** | 7/81 - 6/85     | 6/26/87                 | 81,984              |
|            |                 |                         |                     |

Audit

County

Kern

Los Angeles

Los Angeles Adoptions

Marin\*\*

Administrative

Period

7/82 - 6/85

7/82 - 6/84

7/82 - 9/84

7/82 - 6/85

1/30/87

12/26/86

6/12/87

11/21/86

<sup>\*</sup>The final audit report has been issued; but at the time this list was prepared, the protest period was still in process.

\*\*New since ACL 87-107.

#### Protested Audits

| County          | Audit<br>Period | Date of<br><u>Audit Report</u> | Amount<br>of Report |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|
| Mendocino       | 7/82 - 6/85     | 12/16/86                       | \$ 429,716          |
| Merced          | 7/81 - 6/85     | 2/6/87                         | 817,118             |
| Monterey**      | 7/83 - 6/85     | 9/1/37                         | 1,285,976           |
| Orange          | 7/81 - 6/83     | 9/19/85                        | 607,274             |
| Plumas          | 7/81 - 6/85     | 2/6/87                         | 60,869              |
| Riverside       | 7/80 - 6/82     | 7/11/84                        | 230,604             |
| Riverside**     | 7/82 - 6/85     | 5/15/87                        | 1,972,453           |
| San Bernardino* | *7/83 - 6/85    | 6/19/87                        | 392,282             |
| San Diego       | 7/78 - 6/80     | 9/18/81                        | 1,032,224           |
| San Diego**     | 7/82 - 6/85     | 6/26/87                        | 3,644,106           |
| San Francisco   | 1/77 - 6/79     | 1/30/81                        | 9,745,728           |
| San Francisco   | 7/79 - 6/81     | 2/25/83                        | 5,656,263           |
| San Francisco   | 7/81 - 6/84     | 8/22/86                        | 19,134,593          |
| Santa Clara     | 7/81 - 6/83     | 12/20/85                       | 947,129             |
| Shasta**        | 7/80 - 6/85     | 6/12/87                        | 2,243,519           |
| Sonoma          | 7/82 - 6/85     | 11/7/86                        | 1,356,108           |
| Tehama**        | 7/81 - 6/86     | 6/5/87                         | 13,957              |
| Tulare          | 7/81 - 6/85     | 3/3/87                         | 508,513             |
| Ventura**       | 7/81 - 6/85     | 6/12/87                        | 4,490,115           |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

## Appealed Audits

| County                   | Audit<br>Period | Date of<br>Audit Report | Amount of Report |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Alameda                  | 1/77 - 6/78     | 12/7/79                 | \$ 3,015,877     |
| Alameda**                | 7/78 - 6/81     | 8/26/83                 | 6,334,452        |
| Alameda**                | 7/81 - 6/84     | 1/31/86                 | 2,987,847        |
| Amador                   | 7/80 - 6/84     | 12/20/85                | 41,931           |
| Contra Costa             | 7/77 - 6/79     | 11/7/80                 | 1,929,101        |
| Contra Costa             | 7/79 - 6/80     | 1/22/82                 | 665,098          |
| Contra Costa**           | 7/80 - 6/83     | 10/9/84                 | 2,324,721        |
| Fresno                   | 7/80 - 6/82     | 9/30/83                 | 382,821          |
| Humboldt                 | 4/75 - 9/78     | 12/28/79                | 102,593          |
| Imperial                 | 7/80 - 6/84     | 10/4/85                 | 225,046          |
| Los Angeles              | 7/76 - 6/77     | 2/8/30                  | 4,436,697        |
| Los Angeles              | 7/77 - 6/80     | 6/25/82                 | 21,817,942       |
| Los Angeles              | 7/80 - 6/82     | 12/7/84                 | 19,773,982       |
| Los Angeles<br>Adoptions | 7/79 - 6/82     | 8/26/83                 | 719,612          |
| Los Angeles BHI          | 7/69 - 6/76     | 7/30/82                 | 88,533           |
| Los Angeles BHI          | 7/69 - 6/75     | 7/30/82                 | 293,349          |
| Mendocino**              | 7/79 - 6/82     | 8/20/84                 | 191,014          |
| Modoc**                  | 7/80 - 6/84     | 4/4/86                  | 66,474           |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

# Appealed Audits

| County      | Audit<br>Period | Date of<br>Audit Report | Amount of Report |
|-------------|-----------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| Orange      | 7/79 - 6/81     | 2/18/83                 | \$ 1,555,045     |
| Placer      | 7/79 - 6/82     | 3/2/84                  | 123,213          |
| Sacramento  | 7/79 - 6/81     | 6/10/83                 | 437,037          |
| Sacramento  | 7/81 - 6/83     | 3/8/85                  | 1,055,916        |
| San Benito  | 7/78 - 6/80     | 12/18/81                | 124,064          |
| San Mateo   | 7/76 - 6/78     | 6/15/79                 | 293,237          |
| Santa Clara | 4/79 - 6/81     | 7/23/82                 | 2,634,213        |
| Santa Cruz  | 7/80 - 6/83     | 11/7/84                 | 68,358           |
| Shasta      | 10/77 - 6/80    | 11/20/81                | 318,863          |
| Tuolumne    | 1/74 - 12/75    | 8/13/76                 | 2,555            |
| Tuolumne    | 1/76 - 6/78     | 5/9/30                  | 57,507           |
| Ventura     | 7/79 - 6/81     | 10/22/82                | 2,112,795        |
| Yolo**      | 7/79 - 6/84     | 5/17/85                 | 293,557          |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

#### In Application

The audits are finalized; actions are now being taken to adjust claims so that there will be a proper state, county, and federal share of costs claimed and to collect or pay any amounts due as a result of the audit.

| County      | Audit Period |
|-------------|--------------|
| Mariposa    | 7/80 - 6/84  |
| Mendocino   | 4/77 - 6/79  |
| Mono**      | 7/81 - 6/85  |
| Nevada      | 7/80 - 6/84  |
| San Benito  | 7/80 - 6/84  |
| San Diego** | 7/75 - 6/77  |
| San Joaquin | 7/81 - 6/83  |
| Siskiyou    | 7/82 - 6/85  |
| Solano      | 10/78 - 6/82 |
| Sutter**    | 7/82 - 6/86  |
| Tehama      | 7/83 - 6/85  |
| Yuba        | 7/80 - 6/84  |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

# Applied

| County                   | Audit Period | Record<br>Destruction<br>Date*** |
|--------------------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Alpine                   | 7/80 - 6/84  | 5/22/90                          |
| Colusa**                 | 7/78 - 6/83  | 9/25/90                          |
| Contra Costa             | 7/76 - 6/77  | 4/24/90                          |
| El Dorado                | 7/79 - 6/82  | 5/13/90                          |
| Glenn                    | 7/75 - 3/78  | 4/27/90                          |
| Glenn                    | 7/78 - 6/81  | 4/27/90                          |
| Humboldt                 | 7/78 - 6/81  | 5/20/90                          |
| Kern                     | 7/79 - 6/82  | 5/6/90                           |
| Kings                    | 7/79 - 6/82  | 5/22/90                          |
| Lake**                   | 7/81 - 6/85  | 8/27/91                          |
| Lassen                   | 1/77 - 6/82  | 4/30/90                          |
| Los Angeles<br>Adoptions | 7/76 - 6/79  | 7/1/90                           |
| Madera                   | 1/78 - 6/80  | 4/27/90                          |
| Madera**                 | 7/80 - 6/85  | 8/27/91                          |
| Marin                    | 10/78 - 6/82 | 5/13/90                          |
| Merced                   | 4/78 - 6/81  | 7/1/90                           |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

## Applied (Continued)

| County          | Audit Period | Record<br>Destruction<br>Date*** |
|-----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| codary          |              | 1746                             |
| Monterey        | 4/78 - 6/80  | 4/29/90                          |
| Monterey        | 7/80 - 6/83  | 7/7/90                           |
| Napa            | 1/78 - 6/82  | 5/20/90                          |
| Napa**          | 7/82 - 6/85  | 8/27/91                          |
| Nevada          | 10/76 - 6/80 | 5/20/ <b>9</b> 0                 |
| Plumas          | 1/77 - 6/81  | 4/27/90                          |
| Riverside       | 7/77 - 6/79  | 5/22/90                          |
| Riverside       | 7/79 - 6/80  | 5/13/90                          |
| San Bernardino  | 7/79 - 6/80  | 5/22/90                          |
| San Bernardino  | 7/80 - 6/83  | 7/1/90                           |
| San Diego**     | 7/80 - 6/82  | 8/28/91                          |
| San Joaquin     | 7/78 - 6/81  | 5/11 <b>/9</b> 0                 |
| San Luis Obispo | 7/80 - 6/84  | 7/1/90                           |
| San Mateo**     | 7/80 - 6/83  | 8/27/91                          |
| Santa Barbara   | 7/80 - 6/83  | 4/27/90                          |
| Sonoma          | 7/79 - 6/82  | 7/3/90                           |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

## Applied (Continued)

| County         | Audit Period | Record<br>Destruction<br>Date*** |
|----------------|--------------|----------------------------------|
| Stanislaus     | 4/78 - 6/80  | 5/21/89                          |
| Stanislaus     | 7/80 - 6/83  | 5/7/89                           |
| Sutter         | 10/75 - 9/78 | 5/20/90                          |
| Sutter**       | 10/78 - 6/82 | 8/28/91                          |
| Teh <b>ama</b> | 7/77 - 6/81  | 5/20/90                          |
| Trinity        | 4/76 - 6/30  | 4/29/90                          |
| Trinity        | 7/80 - 6/85  | 5/20/90                          |
| Tulare         | 10/78 - 6/81 | 5/22/90                          |
| Tuolume        | 7/78 - 6/82  | 5/22/ <b>9</b> 0                 |
| Yolo           | 4/77 - 6/79  | 7/1/90                           |

<sup>\*\*</sup>New since ACL 87-107.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>These records may be flagged for destruction on the date shown.

# COURT CASES

There are several court cases which require extended retention including the following:

| CASE  | ACL                     | ACIN                | RECORDS<br>COVERED             | PERIOD COVERED  |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| North Coast Coalition v. Woods(1)   | 80-64                   | I-35-81<br>I-49-80  | AFDC                           | 8/1/76 - 9/30/80  |
| Welfare Recipients League v. Woods  | 84-15<br>82-15<br>81-58 |                     | AFDC                           | 2/19/82 - to date   |
| Wright v. Woods(1)  | 83-43<br>78-18          |                     | AFDC                           | 7/18/76 - 12/31/80  |
| Green v. Obledo(2)  | 84-13<br>83-47          | 1-38-82<br>1-88-81  | AFDC                           | 1/1/74 - 11/9/81  |
| Farias v. Woods(2)  | 83-66<br>83-50<br>82-39 | I-132-83<br>I-26-83 | AFDC-FC                        | 2/1/78 - the date on which they were transferred to AFDC-FC or became ineligible. The date of transfer may be later than 1/7/80 because Miller v. Youakim regulations were implemented on a flow basis. |
| Angus v. Woods(1)   | 83-62<br>80-73          |                     | AFDC                           | 9/1/77 - 12/31/80   |
| Wood v. Woods(1)  | 83-130<br>83-91         | I-134-80<br>I-26-80 | AFDC                           | 1/1/80 - 9/30/81  |
| Vaessen v. Woods  | 80-67                   | I-150-82            | AFDC, RCA<br>& ECA<br>PROGRAMS | 1/1/79 - to date  |
| Williams v. Woods(2)  | 84-56<br>84-45<br>84-40 | 1-40-84             | AFDC                           | 11/12/78 - 5/31/84  |
| Miller v. Woods and Community Services for the Disabled v. Woods (and payment to spouses - WRO) | 84-58                   | 1-37-84             | IHSS                           | 4/1/79 - 1/1/85   |

#### COURT CASES

| CASE   | ACL             | ACIN     | RECORDS<br>COVERED             | PERIOD COVERED    |
|--|-----------------|----------|--------------------------------|-------------------|
| Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v. McMahon(2) | 84-90           | 1-53-84  | AFDC, RCA<br>& ECA<br>PROGRAMS | 5/23/84 - to date |
| Lowry v. Obledo(2)   | 85-53           | 1-146-81 | AFDC                           | 2/1/77 - 8/31/82  |
| Shaw v. McMahon(3)   | 85-25<br>84-109 |          | AFDC                           | 10/1/84 - 4/30/85 |

- (1) All case records associated with the North Coast Coalition v.

  Woods, Wood v. Woods, Wright v. Woods, or Angus v. Woods court case
  may now be destroyed except for those which:
  - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits made pursuant to MPP Section 50-014. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
  - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (2) All case records associated with the Green v. Obledo, Williams v. Woods, Lowry v. Obledo, Farias v. Woods, and Coalition of California Welfare Rights Organizations v. McMahon court cases may be destroyed except for those which:
  - (a) were used in the determination of eligibility (including denials) for or the amount of retroactive benefits. The prior case records used must be retained in accordance with the legal requirements for public assistance records specified in this letter; or
  - (b) require extended retention pursuant to other provisions of this letter.
- (3) Records related to the Shaw v. McMahon lawsuit need no longer be retained except in accordance with other requirements set forth in the ACL.